

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

08 Jt. space for
Clerk's Office File Stamp: 21

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Burns
 FROM: K.Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 6/9/2008

CASE NO.: 08cv441 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Arnell DEPUTY

CASE TITLE: Arnell v. McAdam

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Plaintiff's Declaration in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
--	-------------

- 5.1 Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
- 5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
- 5.4 **Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.**
- 7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
- 7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
- 7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions *Hearing on this motion is set for June 16, 2008. Under Civil Local Rule 7.1(e)(2), opposition may be filed no later than June 2, 2008.*
- 7.1 Missing table of contents
- 15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself
- 30.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order
- X Supplemental documents require court order *(Opposition already on file as docket item #14.)*
- Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
- OTHER:

*The submitted pleading consists of additional briefing, and is not a declaration.*Date forwarded: 6/9/2008ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: LARRY ALAN BURNS
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGEBy: Larry A. BurnsDated: 6-10-08

cc: All Parties

REJECTED

1 **LANTZ ARNELL, MD**
2 **P.O. BOX 181583 Coronado, CA 92178**
3 **Tel. (619) 435-4064**

4
5
6
7
8

United States District Court
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11
12 **LANTZ ARNELL, MD** Case # '08 - CV- 00441 · LAB
13
14


15 **vs.**

16
17 **Judge W. McAdam**
18 **Respondent**

19
20
21 **Notice of Plaintiff's Responsive**
22 **Declaration in Opposition to**
23 **Judge McAdam's Motion to**
24 **Dismiss.**

25
26 Date: June 16, 2008
27 Time: 11:15 a.m.
28 Room: 9
 Judge: Honorable William Burns

29
30 To Ms. Cheryl L Brierton, attorney for Judge William McAdam (herein after
31 defendant): PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 16, 2008 at 11:15 a.m., in
32 courtroom 9 on the 4th floor of the United States District Court for the Southern



CR